arXiv:1609.07840v1 [math.CO] 26 Sep 2016

Asymptotic r-log-convexity and P-recursive sequences

Qing-Hu Hou[1] , Zuo-Ru Zhang[2]

Center for Applied Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072, P. R. China

[1]qh_hou@tju.edu.cn, [2]zhangzuoru@tju.edu.cn

Abstract. A sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be asymptotically r-log-convex if it is r-log-convex for n sufficiently large. We present a criterion on the asymptotical r-log-convexity based on the asymptotic behavior of $a_n a_{n+2}/a_{n+1}^2$. As an application, we show that most P-recursive sequences are asymptotic r-log-convexity for any integer r once they are log-convex. Moreover, for a concrete integer r, we present a systematic method to find the explicit integer N such that a P-recursive sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex. This enable us to prove the r-log-convexity of some combinatorial sequences.

Keywords: asymptotic log-convexity, asymptotic r-log-convexity, P-recursive sequence

1 Introduction

Recall that a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be *log-convex* (*log-concave*, respectively) if for all $n \geq 0$,

$$a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2 \geq 0 \ (\leq 0, respectively). \tag{1.1}$$

Liu and Wang [6] showed that many combinatorial sequences are log-convex. While for some sequences, the inequality (1.1) holds except for finitely many initial terms. For example, DeSalvo and Pak [4] proved that the partition function is log-concave for $n \geq 26$. This fact motives us to consider the *asymptotic log-convexity* which requires (1.1) holding for n sufficiently large. Recall that a sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is said to be *r-log-convex* if

$$\{\mathscr{L}a_n\}_{n\geq 0},\ \{\mathscr{L}^2 a_n\}_{n\geq 0},\ \ldots,\ \{\mathscr{L}^r a_n\}_{n\geq 0} \tag{1.2}$$

are all non-negative sequences, where

$$\mathscr{L}a_n = a_n a_{n+2} - a_{n+1}^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathscr{L}^k a_n = \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{L}^{k-1} a_n).$$

We also define the *asymptotic r-log-convexity* by requiring the sequences in (1.2) to be non-negative for n sufficiently large.

It is straightforward to see that a positive sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotic r-log-convexity if the ratio $a_n a_{n+2}/a_{n+1}^2$ is asymptotic to $1 + c/n^\alpha$ for certain positive numbers c and α. By investigating the relation between

$$\frac{a_n a_{n+2}}{a_{n+1}^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\mathscr{L}a_n \mathscr{L}a_{n+2}}{(\mathscr{L}a_{n+1})^2},$$

we extend this observation to the case of asymptotic r-log-convexity and present a criterion.

With this criterion, we studied the asymptotic r-log-convexity of the *P-recursive* sequences. Recall that a P-recursive sequence of order d satisfies a recurrence relation of the form

$$a_n = r_1(n)a_{n-1} + r_2(n)a_{n-2} + \cdots + r_d(n)a_{n-d},$$

where $r_i(n)$ are rational functions of n (see [7, Section 6.4]). Došlić and Veljan [5] presented a method on proving the log-convexity of the P-recursive sequences. Chen and Xia [3] gave a criterion for the 2-log-convexity of the P-recursive sequences of order 2. Zhu [11] considered the ratio log-convexity of the P-recursive sequences of order 2. All of these methods depend on manually finding suitable bounds of the ratio a_{n+1}/a_n.

Our approach is based on the asymptotic behavior of the P-recursive sequences given by Birkhoff and Trjitzinsky [2] and developed by Wimp and Zeilberger [9]. They showed that a P-recursive sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically equal to a linear combination of terms of the form

$$e^{Q(\rho,n)} s(\rho, n), \tag{1.3}$$

where

$$Q(\rho, n) = \mu_0 n \log n + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} \mu_j n^{j/\rho},$$

$$s(\rho,n)=n^r\sum_{j=0}^{t-1}(\log n)^j\sum_{s=0}^{M-1}b_{sj}n^{-s/\rho},$$

with ρ,t,M being positive integers and μ_j,r,b_{sj} being complex numbers. We show that when $t=1$, the asymptotic r-log-convexity of $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ can be deduced by computing $Q(\rho,n)$ and $s(\rho,n)$. As an example, we confirm the second part of Conjecture 5.4 in [3].

The asymptotic expression of a_n also provides good bounds for the ratio a_{n+1}/a_n. As we have mentioned, these bounds play important roles in applying the methods in [3,5,11]. Based on the asymptotic expression, we present a systematic method on proving the r-log-convexity of the P-recursive sequences. As an application, we show that the number of $n\times n$ $(0,1)$ matrices with row sum and column sum 3 is 2-log-convex for $n\geq 8$.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, by studying the relation of $\mathscr{R}^2a_n$ and $\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n$, we give a criterion of the asymptotic r-log-convexity for sequences whose ratios a_na_{n+2}/a_{n+1}^2 have the Puiseux-type approximations. We show that most P-recursive sequences are of this kind and thus confirm a conjecture on the asymptotic r-log-convexity of Motzkin numbers. In Section 3, we give a method to prove the r-log-convexity of P-recursive sequences by finding the explicit N such that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex.

2 Asymptotic r-log-convexity

In this section, we will consider a kind of positive sequences $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ such that the ratio a_na_{n+2}/a_{n+1}^2 is asymptotic to

$$1+\frac{c_1}{n^{\alpha_1}}+\frac{c_2}{n^{\alpha_2}}+\cdots+\frac{c_m}{n^{\alpha_m}},$$

where $0<\alpha_1<\alpha_2<\cdots<\alpha_m$ and c_i are real numbers. We will give a criterion for the asymptotic r-log-convexity of these sequences. Moreover, we will show that most P-recursive sequences satisfy this condition.

Let $\mathscr{R}a_n=a_{n+1}/a_n$. We see that the log-convexity of a positive sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is equivalent to the statement that

$$\mathscr{R}^2a_n=\frac{a_na_{n+2}}{a_{n+1}^2}\geq 1.$$

To study the r-log-convexity, we firstly investigate the relation between $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$ and $\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n$.

Lemma 2.1 *Denote $s_n = \mathscr{R}^2 a_n$. Then*

$$\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n = s_{n+1}^2 \frac{(s_n-1)(s_{n+2}-1)}{(s_{n+1}-1)^2}.$$

Proof. By direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n &= \frac{(a_{n+3}a_{n+1}-a_{n+2}^2)(a_{n+1}a_{n-1}-a_n^2)}{(a_{n+2}a_n-a_{n+1}^2)^2} \\
&= \frac{a_{n+2}^2 a_n^2}{a_{n+1}^2} \frac{(\frac{a_{n+3}a_{n+1}}{a_{n+2}^2}-1)(\frac{a_{n+1}a_{n-1}}{a_n^2}-1)}{(\frac{a_{n+2}a_n}{a_{n+1}^2}-1)^2} \\
&= s_{n+1}^2 \frac{(s_{n+2}-1)(s_n-1)}{(s_{n+1}-1)^2},
\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. ∎

Let $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence of real numbers. Suppose that there exist real numbers c_i, α_i with

$$\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \cdots < \alpha_m$$

such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{\alpha_m}\left(f_n - \sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{c_i}{n^{\alpha_i}}\right) = 0.$$

We call

$$g_n = \sum_{i=0}^{m}\frac{c_i}{n^{\alpha_i}}$$

a *Puiseux-type approximation* of f_n and denote $f_n \approx g_n$. We will see that the first few terms of g_n and the exponent α_m play key roles in our discussion. Therefore, we will abbreviate the trailing terms and use the standard little-o notation to write

$$f_n = \frac{c_0}{n^{\alpha_0}} + \frac{c_1}{n^{\alpha_1}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha_m}}\right).$$

Based on Lemma 2.1, we can derive a criterion for the asymptotic r-log-convexity in terms of the Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$.

Theorem 2.2 *Let $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a positive sequence such that $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$ has a Puiseux-type approximation*

$$\mathscr{R}^2 a_n = 1 + \frac{c}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right), \tag{2.1}$$

where $c > 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$. Then $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for

$$r = \begin{cases} \lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor, & \text{if } \alpha < 2, \\ \left\lfloor \frac{\beta-\alpha}{2} \right\rfloor + 1, & \text{if } \alpha \geq 2, \end{cases} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\lfloor x \rfloor$ denotes the maximal integer less than or equal to x.

Proof. Let $s_n = \mathscr{R}^2 a_n$. By (2.1) we immediately derive that $s_n \geq 1$ for n sufficiently large and hence $\{a_n\}$ is asymptotically log-convex. We will compute a Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2 \mathscr{L} a_n$ by aid of Lemma 2.1.

Noting that

$$\frac{1}{(n+\gamma)^\alpha} = \frac{1}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right),$$

we have

$$s_{n+1}^2 = \left(1 + \frac{c}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right)\right)^2 = 1 + \frac{2c}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right).$$

Denote

$$f_n = \frac{n^\alpha}{c}(s_n - 1).$$

We have

$$f_n = 1 + \frac{d}{n^\gamma} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right), \tag{2.3}$$

for certain real numbers d and γ. To estimate the ratio $f_n f_{n+2}/f_{n+1}^2$, we consider its logarithm. By the taylor expansion of logarithm function, we see that

$$\log f_n = \frac{d}{n^\gamma} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right).$$

Notice that for any $\theta > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{n^\theta} + \frac{1}{(n+2)^\theta} - \frac{2}{(n+1)^\theta} \\
&= \frac{1}{n^\theta} + \left(\frac{1}{n^\theta} - \frac{2\theta}{n^{\theta+1}} + \frac{2\theta(\theta+1)}{n^{\theta+2}}\right) - 2\left(\frac{1}{n^\theta} - \frac{\theta}{n^{\theta+1}} + \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{2n^{\theta+2}}\right) \\
&\qquad + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right) \\
&= \frac{\theta(\theta+1)}{n^{\theta+2}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

We thus derive that

$$\log \frac{f_n f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}^2} = \frac{d\gamma(\gamma+1)}{n^{\gamma+2}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right),$$

and hence

$$\frac{f_n f_{n+2}}{f_{n+1}^2} = 1 + \frac{d\gamma(\gamma+1)}{n^{\gamma+2}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right). \tag{2.4}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{(s_n-1)(s_{n+2}-1)}{(s_{n+1}-1)^2} &= \frac{(n+1)^{2\alpha}}{n^\alpha (n+2)^\alpha} \frac{g_n g_{n+2}}{g_{n+1}^2} \\
&= \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right)\right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{d\gamma(\gamma+1)}{n^{\gamma+2}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right)\right) \\
&= 1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma 2.1 we deduce that

$$\mathscr{R}^2 \mathscr{L} a_n = \left(1 + \frac{2c}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^\beta}\right)\right)\left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right)\right).$$

We firstly consider the case of $\alpha < 2$. If $\beta - \alpha \geq \alpha$, then we obtain a Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2 \mathscr{L} a_n$:

$$1 + \frac{2c}{n^\alpha} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right).$$

Since $c > 0$, we derive that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically 2-log-convex. Repeating the above discussion, we finally derive that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for $r \leq \lfloor \beta/\alpha \rfloor$.

Then we consider the case of $\alpha = 2$. In this case, if $\beta - \alpha \geq 2$, we will obtain a Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n$:

$$1 + \frac{(2c+2)}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-2}}\right).$$

Also, by iterating the above discussion, we obtain that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for $r \leq \lfloor \beta/2 \rfloor$.

Finally we consider the case of $\alpha > 2$. In this case, when $\beta - \alpha \geq 2$, we will obtain a Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}a_n$ as

$$1 + \frac{\alpha}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{\beta-\alpha}}\right).$$

By iterating the above discussion, we deduce that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for $r \leq \lfloor (\beta-\alpha)/2 \rfloor + 1$. Combining the results for $\alpha = 2$ and $\alpha > 2$, we arrive at (2.2). ∎

Remark. We see that the asymptotic r-log-convexity depends only on the first term of the Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$. Suppose that for any real number β, $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$ has a Puiseux-type approximation of form (2.1). Then the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for any integer r once it is log-convex.

In order to apply Theorem 2.2, we need to show that $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$ has a Puiseux-type approximation of the form (2.1). We will show that this is the case for most P-recursive sequences.

Recall that a formal solution to a polynomial recurrence relation is of the form

$$f_n = e^{Q(\rho,n)} s(\rho, n),$$

where

$$Q(\rho, n) = \mu_0 n \log n + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} \mu_j n^{j/\rho},$$

$$s(\rho, n) = n^r \sum_{j=0}^{t-1} (\log n)^j \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} b_{sj} n^{-s/\rho},$$

with ρ, t being positive integers and μ_j, r, b_{sj} being complex numbers. We will see that the ratio $\mathscr{R}^2 f_n$ has a good asymptotic behavior when $t = 1$.

Theorem 2.3 *Suppose that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a P-recursive sequence whose asymptotic expression is*

$$a_n = e^{Q(\rho,n)} \cdot n^r \left(\sum_{s=0}^{M} b_s n^{-s/\rho} + o\left(n^{-M/\rho}\right) \right),$$

where

$$Q(\rho, n) = \mu_0 n \log n + \sum_{j=1}^{\rho} \mu_j n^{j/\rho},$$

with ρ, M being positive integers, μ_j, r, b_s being real numbers and $b_0 \neq 0$. Then there exist real numbers $c_1, \ldots, c_M$ such that

$$\mathscr{R}^2 a_n \approx 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. Noting that the operator $\mathscr{R}$ is multiplicative, we may consider each factor of a_n separetively.

We firstly consider the factor $f_n = e^{\mu_0 n \log n}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\log(\mathscr{R}^2 f_n) &= \mu_0 \big((n+2)\log(n+2) + n\log n - 2(n+1)\log(n+1) \big) \\
&= \mu_0 \left((n+2)\log\left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right) - 2(n+1)\log\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right) \right) \\
&= \mu_0 \left(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^M}\right) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathscr{R}^2 f_n = 1 + \frac{\mu_0}{n} - \frac{\mu_0^2/2 - \mu_0}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^M}\right).$$

Then we consider the factor $f_n = e^{\mu_j n^{j/\rho}}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\log(\mathscr{R}^2 f_n) &= \mu_j\big((n+2)^{j/\rho} + n^{j/\rho} - 2(n+1)^{j/\rho}\big) \\ &= \mu_j n^{j/\rho}\left(\left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)^{j/\rho} + 1 - 2\left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{j/\rho}\right) \\ &= \mu_j n^{j/\rho}\left(\frac{(j/\rho)(j/\rho-1)}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^{M+1}}\right)\right).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\mathscr{R}^2 f_n = 1 + \frac{\mu_j(j/\rho)(j/\rho-1)}{n^{2-j/\rho}} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^M}\right).$$

Next we consider the factor $f_n = n^r$. We have

$$\mathscr{R}^2 f_n = \left(1+\frac{2}{n}\right)^r \left(1+\frac{1}{n}\right)^{-2r} = 1 - \frac{r}{n^2} + \cdots + o\left(\frac{1}{n^M}\right).$$

Finally, we consider the factor

$$f_n = \sum_{s=0}^{M} b_s n^{-s/\rho} + o\left(n^{-M/\rho}\right).$$

The ratio $f_n f_{n+2}/f_{n+1}^2$ has been estimated in the proof of Theorem 2.2 for $b_0 = 1$. By dividing f_n by b_0, we get

$$\mathscr{R}^2 f_n = 1 + \frac{(b_1/b_0)\cdot(1/\rho)\cdot(1/\rho+1)}{n^{1/\rho+2}} + \cdots + o\left(n^{-M/\rho}\right).$$

Combining the factors together, we finally arrive at Equation (2.5). ∎

Now we give an example to illustrate how to apply Theorems 2.2 and 2.3.

Corollary 2.4 *Let M_n be the n-th Motzkin number. Then the sequence $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for any positive integer r.*

Proof. It is known that the Motzkin numbers satisfy the recurrence

$$(n+2)M_n = (2n+1)M_{n-1} + (3n-3)M_{n-2}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $n \geq 2$ and $M_0 = M_1 = 1$, see Aigner [1].

We can get the asymptotic expression of M_n from the recurrence relation by considering the asymptotic behavior of the ratio M_{n+1}/M_n. Zeilberger has implemented a `Maple` package `AsyRec` [10]. We have our own `Mathematica` implementation which is accessible at `http://cam.tju.edu.cn/~hou/preprints.html`.

By these packages, we deduce two formal solutions to the recursion (2.6):

$$3^n n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i n^{-i} \quad \text{and} \quad (-1)^n n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} b_i n^{-i},$$

where a_i, b_i are real numbers. Since the second solution tends to zero when n tends to infinite, we thus derive that M_n has a Puiseux-type approximation of the form

$$M_n \approx 3^n n^{-3/2} \sum_{i=0}^{K} a_i n^{-i},$$

for any positive integer K. By comparing the first few terms, we compute that

$$M_n \approx C \cdot \frac{3^n}{n^{3/2}} \left(1 - \frac{39}{16n}\right),$$

for some constant C. Hence,

$$\mathscr{R}^2 M_n \approx 1 + \frac{3}{2n^2}.$$

We thus derive that $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is asymptotically r-log-convex for any positive integer r. ∎

We remark that $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is not 2-log-convex but $\{M_n\}_{n\geq 6}$ is.

With the same discussion, besides the log-behavior of Catalan-Larcombe-French sequence given by Sun and Zhao [8], we find that the Catalan-Larcombe-French sequence, the Fine numbers and the Franel numbers of orders 3–6 are asymptotically r-log-convex for any integer r. This confirms the second part of the conjecture posed by Chen and Xia [3].

3 A method on proving the r-log-convexity

In the previous section, we see how to obtain the asymptotic r-log-convexity of P-recursive sequences. For a concrete integer r, we can indeed prove the r-log-convexity by finding the explicit N such that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex.

Assume that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a positive sequence which satisfies the recurrence relation

$$a_{n+t} = R_0(n)a_n + R_1(n)a_{n+1} + \cdots + R_{t+1}(n)a_{n+t-1}. \tag{3.1}$$

Suppose that for any positive integer m, there exists a Puiseux-type approximation

$$a_{n+1}/a_n \approx \sum_{i=m_0}^{m} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}},$$

where ρ is a positive integer, m_0 is an integer and c_i are real numbers. We say $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is a *bound preserving* sequence if for m sufficiently large and

$$f_n = \sum_{i=m_0}^{m} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}} - \frac{1}{n^{m/\rho}}, \quad g_n = \sum_{i=m_0}^{m} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}} + \frac{1}{n^{m/\rho}},$$

we can compute an integer N_0 such that

$$\frac{R_0(n)}{u_n^{(0)}u_{n+1}^{(0)}\cdots u_{n+t-2}^{(0)}} + \frac{R_1(n)}{u_{n+1}^{(1)}\cdots u_{n+t-2}^{(1)}} + \cdots + R_{t-1}(n) \geq f_{n+t-1}^{(0)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_0,$$

and

$$\frac{R_0(n)}{v_n^{(0)}v_{n+1}^{(0)}\cdots v_{n+t-2}^{(0)}} + \frac{R_1(n)}{v_{n+1}^{(1)}\cdots v_{n+t-2}^{(1)}} + \cdots + R_{t-1}(n) \leq g_{n+t-1}^{(0)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_0,$$

where

$$u_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} g_n, & \text{if } R_i(n) > 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large,} \\ f_n, & \text{if } R_i(n) < 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \end{cases}$$

and

$$v_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} f_n, & \text{if } R_i(n) > 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large,} \\ g_n, & \text{if } R_i(n) < 0 \text{ for } n \text{ sufficiently large.} \end{cases}$$

For a bound preserving sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, we can find the explicit N such that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex, when it is asymptotically log-convex.

Theorem 3.1 *Let $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ be a bound preserving sequence. Assume that*

$$\mathscr{R}^2 a_n \approx 1 + \frac{c}{n^\alpha},$$

where c and α are positive numbers. Then for each positive integer r, we can compute an integer N such that $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex.

Proof. Our idea is to find good bounds of $\mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}^i a_n$ for $i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1$ based on the Puiseux-type approximation of $\mathscr{R}^2 a_n$.

Denote

$$s_n^{(i+1)} = \mathscr{R}^2\mathscr{L}^i a_n, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, r-1.$$

By the hypotheses, for any integer m and i, there exists a Puiseux-type approximation

$$s_n^{(i)} \approx 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{c_j^{(i)}}{n^{j/\rho}}.$$

Since $s_n^{(1)} \approx 1 + \frac{c}{n^\alpha}$ with $c > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$, we know that $s_n^{(i)} \approx 1 + \frac{c_i}{n^{\alpha_i}}$ for some $c_i > 0$ and $\alpha_i > 0$. We firstly find bounds of $s_n^{(r-1)}$ to ensure that $s_n^{(r)} \geq 1$. To this end, we search for k_1 and N_1 such that

$$f_n^{(r-1)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{c_i^{(r-1)}}{n^{i/\rho}} - \frac{1}{n^{k_1/\rho}}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$g_n^{(r-1)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \frac{c_i^{(r-1)}}{n^{i/\rho}} + \frac{1}{n^{k_1/\rho}}, \tag{3.3}$$

and

$$\frac{(f_n^{(r-1)} - 1)(f_{n+2}^{(r-1)} - 1)}{(1 - 1/g_{n+1}^{(r-1)})^2} - 1 \geq 0, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_1. \tag{3.4}$$

Since $s_n^{(r)} \approx 1 + \frac{c_r}{n^{\alpha_r}}$ for some $c_r > 0$ and $\alpha_r > 0$, there always exist k_1 and N_1 such that (3.2)–(3.4) holds. On the contrary, once we have

$$f_n^{(r-1)} \leq s_n^{(r-1)} \leq g_n^{(r-1)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_1, \tag{3.5}$$

we will deduce that

$$s_n^{(0)} \geq \frac{(f_n^{(r-1)} - 1)(f_{n+2}^{(r-1)} - 1)}{(1 - 1/g_{n+1}^{(r-1)})^2} \geq 1, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_1.$$

Next we shall find bounds of $s_n^{(r-2)}$ to ensure that (3.5) holds. Similar to the above discussion, we search for k_2 and N_2 such that

$$f_n^{(r-2)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{c_i^{(r-2)}}{n^{i/\rho}} - \frac{1}{n^{k_2/\rho}},$$

$$g_n^{(r-2)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} \frac{c_i^{(r-2)}}{n^{i/\rho}} + \frac{1}{n^{k_2/\rho}},$$

and

$$\frac{(f_{n+2}^{(r-2)} - 1)(f_n^{(r-2)} - 1)}{(1 - 1/g_{n+1}^{(r-2)})^2} \geq f_n^{(r-1)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_2,$$

$$\frac{(g_{n+2}^{(r-2)} - 1)(g_n^{(r-2)} - 1)}{(1 - 1/f_{n+1}^{(r-2)})^2} \leq g_n^{(r-1)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_2.$$

Repeat the above process until we find lower and upper bounds of $s_n^{(1)}$:

$$f_n^{(1)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \frac{c_i^{(1)}}{n^{i/\rho}} - \frac{1}{n^{k_{r-1}/\rho}},$$

and

$$g_n^{(1)} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \frac{c_i^{(1)}}{n^{i/\rho}} + \frac{1}{n^{k_{r-1}/\rho}}.$$

Now we will find bounds of a_{n+1}/a_n. We search for k_r and N_r such that

$$f_n^{(0)} = \sum_{i=m_0}^{k_r} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}} - \frac{1}{n^{k_r/\rho}}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$g_n^{(0)} = \sum_{i=m_0}^{k_r} \frac{c_i}{n^{i/\rho}} + \frac{1}{n^{k_r/\rho}}, \tag{3.7}$$

and

$$f_{n+1}^{(0)}/g_n^{(0)} \geq f_n^{(1)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_r, \tag{3.8}$$

$$g_{n+1}^{(0)}/f_n^{(0)} \leq g_n^{(1)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_r. \tag{3.9}$$

Finally, we determine N_0 such that

$$f_n^{(0)} \leq a_{n+1}/a_n \leq g_n^{(0)}, \quad \forall\, n \geq N_0,$$

by aid of the recurrence relation (3.1). For this purpose, we search for N_0 such that

1) $R_0(n), \ldots, R_{t-1}(n)$ are uniformly negative or positive for $n \geq N_0$.

2) For $n \geq N_0$, we have

$$\frac{R_0(n)}{u_n^{(0)} u_{n+1}^{(0)} \cdots u_{n+t-2}^{(0)}} + \frac{R_1(n)}{u_{n+1}^{(1)} \cdots u_{n+t-2}^{(1)}} + \cdots + R_{t-1}(n) \geq f_{n+t-1}^{(0)}, \tag{3.10}$$

where

$$u_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} g_n^{(0)}, & \text{if } R_i(n) > 0, \\ f_n^{(0)}, & \text{if } R_i(n) < 0. \end{cases}$$

3) For $n \geq N_0$, we have

$$\frac{R_0(n)}{v_n^{(0)} v_{n+1}^{(0)} \cdots v_{n+t-2}^{(0)}} + \frac{R_1(n)}{v_{n+1}^{(1)} \cdots v_{n+t-2}^{(1)}} + \cdots + R_{t-1}(n) \leq g_{n+t-1}^{(0)}, \tag{3.11}$$

where

$$v_n^{(i)} = \begin{cases} f_n^{(0)} & \text{if } R_i(n) > 0, \\ g_n^{(0)} & \text{if } R_i(n) < 0. \end{cases}$$

4) For $n = N_0, N_0 + 1, ..., N_0 + t - 1$, we have

$$f_n^{(0)} \leq a_{n+1}/a_n \leq g_n^{(0)}.$$

Since $\{a_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ is an order preserving sequence, we can find out N_0 explicitly.

Set $N = \max\{N_0, N_1, ..., N_r\}$. Then the sequence $\{a_n\}_{n\geq N}$ is r-log-convex. ∎

We conclude by giving an example.

Let $H_n(3)$ denote the number of $n \times n$ $(0,1)$-matrices with row and column

sum 3. By the theory of symmetric functions, one can derive that

$$H_n - \frac{9n^2+42n+37}{(n+1)^2(3n+10)}H_{n+1} - \frac{3(3n+13)}{(n+2)(n+1)^2(3n+10)}H_{n+2} - \frac{9(3n^2+19n+32)}{(n+3)(n+2)^2(n+1)^2(3n+10)}H_{n+3} + \frac{12(3n+7)}{(n+4)(3n+10)(n+3)^2(n+2)^2(n+1)^2}H_{n+4} = 0.$$

Yang conjectured that $\{H_n(3)\}_{n\geq 2}$ is log-convex (private communication). We have the following stronger result.

Theorem 3.2 *The sequence $\{H_n(3)\}_{n\geq 8}$ is 2-log-convex.*

Proof. By our `Mathematica` package, we find that

$$H_n(3) = C\cdot e^{-3n}n^{3n+1/2}\left(\frac{3}{4}\right)^n\left(1-\frac{55}{36n}-\frac{47}{2592n^2}+\frac{1045741}{1399680n^3}+o\left(\frac{1}{n^3}\right)\right),$$

for some constant C. Therefore,

$$r_n = \frac{H_{n+1}(3)}{H_n(3)} \approx \frac{3}{4}n^3+\frac{3}{2}n^2+\frac{25}{12}n+\frac{28}{9},$$

and

$$s_n^{(1)} \approx 1+\frac{3}{n}+\frac{1}{n^2}-\frac{41}{9n^3}, \quad s_n^{(2)} \approx 1+\frac{6}{n}+\frac{6}{n^2}.$$

Notice that for

$$f_n^{(1)} = 1+\frac{3}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n^{(1)} = 1+\frac{3}{n}+\frac{2}{n^2},$$

we have

$$\frac{(f_n^{(1)}-1)(f_{n+2}^{(1)}-1)}{(1-1/g_{n+1}^{(1)})^2}-1 = \frac{2(21n^2+82n+81)}{(3n+5)^2n} > 0, \quad \forall\, n\geq 1.$$

Thus we may take $k_1 = 2$ and $N_1 = 1$.

Now take $k_2 = 0$ such that

$$f_n^{(0)} = \frac{3}{4}n^3+\frac{3}{2}n^2+\frac{25}{12}n+\frac{19}{9},$$

and

$$g_n^{(0)} = \frac{3}{4}n^3 + \frac{3}{2}n^2 + \frac{25}{12}n + \frac{37}{9}.$$

It can be verified that for $n \geq 8$,

$$f_{n+1}^{(0)}/g_n^{(0)} \geq f_n^{(1)} \quad \text{and} \quad g_n^{(1)} \geq g_{n+1}^{(0)}/f_n^{(0)}.$$

Therefore, we may take $N_2 = 8$.

Clearly, $R_0(n)$ is negative and $R_1(n), R_2(n), R_3(n)$ is positive for each $n \geq 0$. It is routine to check that (3.10) and (3.11) hold for $n \geq 0$. Finally, for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8$ we have

$$f_n^{(0)} \leq a_{n+1}/a_n \leq g_n^{(0)}.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{H_n(3)\}_{n\geq 8}$ is 2-log-convex. ∎

We implement a `Mathematica` package to do the above computation automatically. With this package, we can reprove almost all the results in [5] and [3] automatically.

Acknowledgements

We wish to thank professor Authur L. B. Yang for his conjecture that $\{H_n(3)\}_{n\geq 2}$ is log-convex. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] M. Aigner, Motzkin Numbers, European J. Combin. **19** (1998) 663–675.

[2] G.D. Birkhoff and W.J. Trjitzinsky, Analytic theory of singular difference equations, Acta Math. **60** (1932) 1–89.

[3] W.Y.C. Chen and E.X.W. Xia, The 2-log-convexity of the Apéry numbers, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (2011) 391–400.

[4] S. DeSalvo and I. Pak, Log-concavity of the partition function, Ramanujan J. **38** (2015) 61–73.

[5] T. Došlić and D. Veljanb, Logarithmic behavior of some combinatorial sequences, Discrete Math. **308** (2008) 2182–2212.

[6] L.L. Liu and Y. Wang, On the log-convexity of combinatorial sequences, Adv. Appl. Math. **39** (2007) 453–476.

[7] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.

[8] B.Y. Sun and J.J.Y. Zhao, Log-behavior of two sequences related to the elliptic integrals, arXiv:1602.04359.

[9] J. Wimp and D. Zeilberger, Resurrecting the asymptotics of linear recurrences, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **111** (1985) 162–176.

[10] D. Zeilberger, AsyRec: a Maple package for computing the asymptotics of solutions of linear recurrence equations with polynomial coefficients, `http://www.math.rutgers.edu/~ zeilberg/mamarim/mamarimhtml/asy.html`

[11] B.X. Zhu, Higher order log-monotonicity of combinatorial sequences, arXiv:1309.6025.